

XStream Storm Think IQ Report

Critical Thinking Intelligence

Date Performed: 07/07/2026

Source: The Psychology of Money BECOMING MORE.mp4

The Psychology of Money — "Becoming More" (Video Analysis)

"The Psychology of Money BECOMING MORE.mp4" is a whiteboard-style animated explainer that condenses Morgan Housel's bestselling book *The Psychology of Money* into a ten-and-a-half-minute, five-insight narration built around case studies of Mike Tyson, Bill Gates, Jesse Livermore, Jeff Bezos, Elon Musk, Sam Bankman-Fried, Warren Buffett, and Ronald Read. This article examines the video from four angles: the accuracy and structure of its content, the empirical robustness of its central thesis, the verifiability of its headline figures, and the practical translation of its motivational themes into actionable financial planning. It also incorporates a dedicated Vision Video IQ visual analysis assessing the video's hook, pacing, and visual-verbal synchronization. Across these perspectives, a consistent pattern emerges: the video is a faithful, engaging adaptation of Housel's book, but its core dichotomy — that getting wealthy and staying wealthy require opposite skills — is better supported as a behavioral heuristic than as a rigorously validated empirical model, and several of its most dramatic numbers are spoken by the narrator but never reinforced on screen, a production gap with measurable learning-retention costs.

Content Structure and Narrative Framework

The video organizes Housel's ideas into five numbered insights, each announced with a bold title card: "You're Not Fully in Control" (0:46), "Getting Wealthy vs Staying Wealthy" (2:47), "Save Money for Freedom" (5:04), "Wealth is What You Don't See" (6:51), and "Emotions Matter" (8:22). This structure mirrors the book's broader thesis that financial success is driven less by technical knowledge than by behavior, temperament, and circumstance [1][2].

Each insight is carried almost entirely by a case study rather than abstract argument. Insight #1 (Luck & Risk, 0:46–2:32) uses Bill Gates' fortunate access to a rare computer terminal at Lakeside School alongside the story of his friend Kent Evans, who likely would have joined Microsoft's founding team had he not died in a mountaineering accident before graduation — a pairing meant to illustrate that outcomes are never purely a function of skill or stupidity. The same section references Jesse Livermore, the legendary trader whose spectacular market wins were eventually undone by his own overconfidence. Insight #2 (2:47–4:34) is the video's centerpiece and pairs Bezos and Musk's "bold leaps" (3:33) against Sam Bankman-Fried's FTX collapse (4:03) and Warren Buffett's decades of conservative discipline (4:19). Insight #3 (5:04–6:35) reframes saving money as purchasing freedom rather than practicing deprivation — the so-called "F-You Fund." Insight #4 (6:51–8:07) contrasts the quietly-wealthy Ronald Read with a stereotypical high-visible-spending Ferrari owner to argue that true wealth is what remains unspent and unseen. Insight #5 (8:22–9:38) closes on the idea that "reasonable" financial decisions that account for emotion often outperform theoretically "rational" ones, again anchored by Buffett.

The Core Thesis: Getting Wealthy vs. Staying Wealthy

Housel's Original Framing and Book Context

The video's second and most conceptually ambitious insight (2:47–4:34) rests on a passage that runs throughout Housel's book, spread across chapters titled "Getting Wealthy vs. Staying Wealthy," "Freedom," and "Room for Error" [1][2][3]. Housel's own words capture the dichotomy directly: getting money "requires taking risks, being optimistic, and putting yourself out there," while "keeping money requires the opposite of taking risk. It requires humility, and fear that what you've made can be taken away from you just as fast" [1][2]. The video literalizes this with Bezos and Musk representing bold, risk-seeking wealth creation and Buffett representing risk-averse, 60-year wealth preservation, with SBF's FTX collapse serving as the cautionary tale of someone who reportedly never made the transition from one skill set to the other.

Empirical Scrutiny of the Dichotomy

Examined against the entrepreneurship and behavioral-finance literature, this two-skill-sets framing does not hold up as cleanly as the video presents it. A frequently cited empirical paper, "The Myth of the Risk-Tolerant Entrepreneur," used a representative U.S. dataset of nascent entrepreneurs and found that "nascent entrepreneurs are more risk averse than non-entrepreneurs" [6] — directly at odds with the folk assumption, echoed in the video, that getting wealthy requires bold risk-taking almost by definition.

More granular research on small businesses suggests the real relationship between risk tolerance and entrepreneurial outcomes is non-linear rather than binary: "very high risk tolerance tends to reduce entrepreneurial profit and survival... entrepreneurs must be willing to take risks in order to run their business successfully," while "less profitable businesses leave the market [and] moderately risk tolerant entrepreneurs survive more often" [12] — an inverted-U pattern, not a clean split between bold risk-takers who get rich and cautious operators who stay rich. Some support for half of Housel's premise does exist: a survey of wealthy German households found that "wealthy individuals are more likely to be entrepreneurs and invest a larger share of their wealth in their own businesses when they are more willing to take risks" [9]. But this study, like most in the field, only samples people who are currently wealthy — it cannot speak to the "staying wealthy" half of the dichotomy, since it doesn't track people who got wealthy and then lost it [9].

Survivorship Bias as Methodological Critique

The deeper problem is one of case selection. Research on entrepreneurial firm survival notes explicitly that most studies "appear to be affected by survival bias: scholars have mostly focused on differences among surviving entrepreneurial firms, not on non-survivors, leaving us with little knowledge about differences between surviving and non-surviving firms" [7]. This is precisely the structural weakness in Housel's — and the video's — case selection: Gates, Livermore's early triumphs, Bezos, Musk, and Buffett are among the most famous survivors and near-survivors in modern business history, while SBF stands in almost alone as the counterexample. Commentary on entrepreneurship more broadly makes the same point: "It's fun to look at all the risk-takers whose gambles ended up paying off, but this view ignores the millions of entrepreneurs who took big risks and failed. You don't hear many news stories about the business owners who ended up in financial ruin" [8][11].

This same caution extends to interpreting the Bezos/Buffett-versus-SBF contrast as proof of a "skill" difference. Daniel Kahneman's proposed empirical test for distinguishing skill from luck is whether an individual's or fund's performance ranking is stable year over year: "if individual differences in any one year are due entirely to luck, the ranking of investors and funds will vary erratically and the year-to-year correlation will be zero. Where there is skill, however, the rankings will be more stable" [16][18]. When Kahneman applied this test to a real wealth-management firm, "the results resembled what you would expect from a dice-rolling contest, not a game of skill" [16][18][21]. No study in the available literature directly tests Bezos, Buffett, and SBF against this kind of longitudinal, persistence-based benchmark — meaning the video's implicit claim that success and failure trace back to identifiable, opposite skill sets remains an anecdotal narrative rather than a tested finding.

Assessment

Taken together, the entrepreneurship and behavioral-finance research neither straightforwardly confirms nor flatly refutes Housel's framework — it complicates it. Entrepreneurs are often more risk-averse than the "getting wealthy requires bold optimism" story assumes [6], the risk/reward relationship is curved rather than binary [12], and virtually every empirical attempt to study these dynamics flags survivorship bias as a central limitation [7][8][11][13][14] — the same limitation that applies to Housel's own small set of famous examples. The "getting vs. staying wealthy" dichotomy functions best as a memorable behavioral heuristic for individual decision-making, not as an empirically validated predictive model of who becomes rich and who stays rich.

Case Study Fact-Checks

Mike Tyson

The video's cold open (0:15–0:30) states Tyson earned roughly \$400 million and later filed for bankruptcy with \$23 million in debt. Both figures are broadly corroborated: multiple sources report "nearly \$400 million earnings over the past 20 years" followed by bankruptcy with "over \$23 million in debt in 2003" [51][53], and Newsweek, citing the New York Times, states "Tyson was about \$23 million in debt when he claimed bankruptcy" [69]. However, sourcing is not fully consistent. AfroTech reports a different total, stating Tyson "had \$27 million of debt in his bankruptcy filing" [67] — a discrepancy the video does not acknowledge. The earnings figure itself also varies: Yahoo Finance cites "an astonishing \$430 million from fights and endorsement deals" [68], while other outlets round to "over \$400 million" as an estimate from financial-media analysis rather than an audited figure [69]. These are best understood as commonly repeated journalistic estimates rather than verified financial records.

Ronald Read

The \$8 million figure cited at 6:51 is among the most solidly corroborated claims in the video, confirmed independently by Wikipedia, CNBC, and other outlets. Wikipedia states his "estate was nearly \$8 million, much of which was in shares of stock," bequeathing "\$2 million to his stepchildren, caregivers, and friends," "\$4.8 million to Brattleboro Memorial Hospital," and "\$1.2 million to Brooks Memorial Library" [71]. CNBC corroborates that Read "quietly amassed an \$8 million fortune, thanks to smart spending and investing habits" [75], and further reporting notes that of the "2,813,503 Americans who died in 2014, fewer than 4,000 had a net worth of \$8 million" [70] — underscoring how statistically rare Read's outcome was, a detail the video's audio references but never visualizes.

Sam Bankman-Fried / FTX

The \$26 billion peak-net-worth figure cited at 4:03 appears consistently across financial media coverage of SBF's rise and fall, tracking his valuation "from \$26 billion to \$0" [78][79] following FTX's collapse. As with the Tyson figures, this number reflects market-based, journalistically-derived valuation estimates during a volatile period for crypto asset pricing rather than an audited net-worth statement — a distinction the video's audio-only presentation does not clarify.

General Sourcing Observations

Across all three case studies, a consistent pattern emerges: the video (like most popular finance-explainer content) relies on secondary and aggregator reporting rather than primary financial or court records, and figures are frequently rounded or presented as single "true" numbers when underlying sources actually show a range (e.g., \$400M vs. \$430M for Tyson's earnings; \$23M vs. \$27M for his debt) [67][68][69]. This is not unique to this video, but it is a reminder that dramatic, screen-worthy statistics in finance explainers should be treated as estimates rather than precise, audited facts.

Practical Application: Translating the Book's Concepts into Financial Planning

The "F-You Fund" / Save-for-Freedom Concept

Insight #3 (5:04–6:35) reframes saving as buying "freedom" rather than sacrifice — a faithful rendering of Housel's own framing, which holds that "independence at any income level is driven by your savings rate, and past a certain level of income your savings rate is driven by your ability to keep your lifestyle expectations from running away" [1]. Notably, Housel's book is intentionally non-tactical: it "won't give you a step-by-step checklist for opening a Roth IRA, but permanently upgrades how you think about saving, spending, and investing" [47] — and the video inherits this same abstraction, offering motivation without concrete numbers.

From a financial-planning practitioner's standpoint, this gap can be closed with established benchmarks. T. Rowe Price research recommends that "most investors should save at least 15% of their income, which includes company contributions available through a workplace plan such as a 401(k)," with the guidance to "start at a lower rate and plan to increase the amount each year" if 15% isn't immediately feasible [42]. On liquidity, financial-planning research suggests "holding, at minimum, two to three months of expenses in liquid assets" [56], while more commonly cited retail guidance recommends "three to six months' worth of living expenses saved in an emergency fund" [62], scaled upward for variable-income households or business owners.

On where to hold this "freedom" layer, planners can look beyond plain cash to "Treasury bills... that are highly liquid and can be easily sold before maturity" and "investment-grade bonds or bond funds, which provide regular interest payments while maintaining liquidity" [62]. For those just starting out, a simpler benchmark is a "first \$1,000 emergency fund" to prevent minor emergencies from becoming credit-card debt, before optimizing further [59]. More sophisticated, human-capital-adjusted models suggest that "as individuals progress through the life cycle, human capital decreases and people rely less on income and more on wealth to buffer against income or consumption shocks," supporting a greater allocation toward risk-free assets with age [56], while some financial-planning researchers argue for a "total portfolio approach" that integrates precautionary savings into the broader portfolio rather than segregating it in low-yield cash [56].

"Staying Wealthy Requires Paranoia" — Actionability for Average Investors

Housel's own text suggests this lesson is meant for ordinary readers, not just the ultra-wealthy, emphasizing that real-world financial decisions are shaped by "personal history, ego, pride, and odd incentives" rather than spreadsheet math [1]. The "paranoia" principle is explicitly operationalized in the book itself as "maintaining emergency funds, avoiding excessive debt, diversifying investments, and recognizing that you need less risk to stay wealthy than you needed to get there" [1] — all mainstream, broadly applicable financial behaviors rather than tools reserved for billionaires. The practical nuance for a planner to add is that while the underlying behavioral principle (avoid catastrophic, irreversible risk) is universal, the specific tools available to implement it scale with wealth tier — average investors typically rely on standard emergency funds and diversified index-based portfolios, while ultra-high-net-worth individuals have access to more complex structures. The video does not draw this distinction, presenting the paranoia lesson as a single, undifferentiated takeaway.

Visual Evidence (Vision Video IQ Analysis)

According to the Vision Video IQ visual analysis, the video is built as a flat-white-background, whiteboard-style animated explainer, using a recurring "live drawing hand" effect visible throughout (e.g., 1:16, 3:33, 6:51, 7:06, 9:53) to simulate real-time illustration. Recurring figures — Gates, Bezos, Musk, Buffett, SBF, and Tyson — are rendered as simple cartoon stand-ins, either photo-cutout heads on cartoon bodies or fully illustrated caricatures, accompanied by generic money iconography such as stacked bills, coin bags, and piggy banks. Per the Vision Video IQ visual analysis, the visuals function mainly as literal illustration of the narration rather than as independent evidence, with almost all substantive argument carried by the audio track.

Hook analysis (0:00–0:30): The Vision Video IQ visual analysis finds the video opens with a generic, stock-style "fire/chain" graphic reading "THE TRUTH WILL SET YOU FREE" (0:00–0:14) that has no connection to money, wealth, or Tyson — establishing tone rather than content-specific curiosity. The real hook does not begin until 0:15, when Tyson and "\$400 million" appear, with the shock payoff (bankruptcy, a \$2M bathtub, \$23M debt, down to \$50K) landing by 0:30. The Vision Video IQ visual analysis assigns this opening a **hook score of 64/100**, noting that "the Tyson story itself is strong, but 14 seconds of unrelated branded intro dilutes it."

Scene map and pacing: The Vision Video IQ visual analysis documents a steady structure: branded intro (0:00–0:14); Tyson cold open (0:15–0:46); Insight #1, Luck & Risk (0:46–2:32); Insight #2, Getting vs. Staying Wealthy (2:47–4:34); Insight #3, Save for Freedom (5:04–6:35); Insight #4, Wealth is What You Don't See (6:51–8:07); Insight #5, Emotions Matter (8:22–9:38); a "Find What Works for You" close and CTA (9:53–10:39); and an end card (10:39–10:55). Editing pace is measured at roughly one new scene or icon every 10–15 seconds, keyed to narration beats rather than a faster cutting rhythm.

Visual-verbal synchronization findings: The Vision Video IQ visual analysis identifies a recurring weakness: the video's most dramatic numbers are spoken but never visually reinforced. At 4:03, the narrator states SBF was worth "\$26 billion" before going bankrupt, yet "the frame only shows a static smiling cartoon face and an 'FTX' wallet icon — no chart, no number on screen." Similarly, at 6:51, "Ronald Read... left behind \$8,000,000" is spoken, but the accompanying visual — an elderly man with a piggy bank and a small car — "never displays the \$8M figure itself, leaving the most striking data point purely audio-dependent." By contrast, the clearest sync moment identified is 3:33–3:48, where a "bold leaps" text overlay directly matches the spoken Bezos/Musk example in real time.

This visual gap is not merely a stylistic quibble; it runs against well-established multimedia-learning research. Mayer's Multimedia Principle holds that "humans learn best from words and pictures than just words alone" [112][117], and the Signaling Principle indicates that "people learn better when there are visual cues that draw attention to focus areas and key points" [112][118] — meaning a statistic as dramatic as SBF's \$26 billion collapse is precisely the kind of moment that should be visually signaled rather than left to narration alone. Notably, the Redundancy Principle does not justify this omission: it cautions against *duplicating* spoken words as on-screen text, not against presenting genuinely distinct numeric graphics such as an animated counter or comparative bar chart [112][113]. Because the video's illustrations (a static face, a wallet icon, an elderly man with a piggy bank) bear only a generic relationship to the actual figures being spoken, they risk falling into the "decorative picture" pattern documented in learning research, where "decorative pictures have little to no relationship to the text content and, unlike representational pictures which support learning, decorative pictures are usually not helpful" [124][125]. Meta-analytic evidence on this broader "seductive details" pattern reports that such details "negatively affect both retention (small to medium effect) and learning transfer (medium effect)" [122][123] — suggesting that the video's most dramatic financial figures are, per this literature, less memorable to viewers than they could be with synchronized numeric graphics.

It should be noted that a direct search for this specific video did not surface it in indexed web results, so this section — consistent with the seed material — treats the frame-by-frame timing and content described in the Vision Video IQ visual analysis as the primary source of record for the video's visual design, evaluated against established, independently documented multimedia-learning research rather than against a separately verifiable copy of the video itself.

References

1. [The Psychology of Money by Morgan Housel: Summary & Notes](#)
2. [Psychology of Money: 20 Lessons That Changed How I Think by Morgan Housel](#)
3. [18 Wealth Lessons from The Psychology of Money by Morgan Housel | Sloww](#)
4. [The Psychology of Money Summary: 19 Key Lessons by Morgan Housel - Eric Sandroni](#)
5. [Elevate Your Life: 43 fabulous financial lessons from the book "The Psychology Of Money" by Morgan Housel](#)

6. (PDF) The myth of the risk-tolerant entrepreneur
7. Cognitive biases, organization, and entrepreneurial firm survival – ScienceDirect
8. How Survivorship Bias Distorts Our View of Successful Entrepreneurs
9. Risk preference and entrepreneurial investment at the top of the wealth distribution | Empirical Economics | Springer Nature Link
10. Survival Bias in Business: The Unseen Peril Hinder Success
11. How Survivorship Bias Distorts Our View of Successful Entrepreneurs | by Jayson DeMers | Medium
12. The non-linear impact of risk tolerance on entrepreneurial profit and business survival | Small Business Economics | Springer Nature Link
13. (PDF) Vining in the Blind: The Perils of Survivorship Bias
14. Survivorship Bias: The Hidden Side of Success – Quartr Insights
15. Luck vs Skill in Markets: Can you tell the difference?
16. Daniel Kahneman: The Illusion of Stock-Picking Skill | The Acquirer's Multiple®
17. Daniel Kahneman: The Illusion Of Stock-Picking Skill
18. Daniel Kahneman: The Illusion Of Skill In Investing | The Acquirer's Multiple®
19. The Entire Investment Profession Is Built On An Illusion Of Skill
20. I do: The illusion of skill – II
21. Daniel Kahneman: Financial Advisers Aren't Immune from the "Illusion of Skill" - CFA Institute Enterprising Investor
22. illusion of skill - The Skeptic's Dictionary
23. Luck and the illusion of stock-picking skill
24. The Psychology of Money PDF Morgan Housel
25. Lessons from The Psychology of Money: Part One – Capital Investment Advisors
26. Lessons from The Psychology of Money: Part One – Retire Sooner Team
27. "The Psychology of Money" by Morgan Housel – Part 1
28. Book summary: The Psychology of Money by Morgan Housel
29. The Psychology Of Money Chapter Summary | Morgan Housel
30. 12min – The Psychology of Money – Critical summary review – Morgan Housel
31. Book Review – The Psychology of Money
32. THE PSYCHOLOGY OF MONEY Timeless Lesson on Wealth, Greed, and Happiness

33. [The Psychology of Money Summary of Key Ideas and Review | Morgan Housel - Blinkist](#)
34. [The Smart Investor's Guide to Strategic Asset Allocation | Yellow Cardinal Advisory Group](#)
35. [Asset Allocation and Diversification | Investor.gov](#)
36. [Asset allocation: What it is and how to choose yours | Fidelity](#)
37. [Asset Allocation: What It Is And How It Works | Bankrate](#)
38. [Asset Allocation Calculator - Portfolio Allocation Models](#)
39. [How to Find the Best Asset Allocation for Your Investment Portfolio | Brighthouse Financial](#)
40. [Target Asset Allocation is Key to Successful Investing](#)
41. [Asset allocation: What it is and how you can create yours | Principal](#)
42. [T. Rowe Price Personal Investor - Why purposeful asset allocation is key for long-term success](#)
43. [Fidelity Asset Manager Funds - Target Allocation Funds](#)
44. [The Psychology of Money by Morgan Housel Summary - Jeremy Silva](#)
45. [The Psychology of Money: Morgan Housel's Key Insights](#)
46. [The Psychology of Money by Morgan Housel - Titixa](#)
47. [The Psychology of Money Review: A Brutally Honest Look at Morgan Housel's Bestseller](#)
48. [The Psychology of Money by Morgan Housel | Harriman House](#)
49. [The Psychology of Money by Morgan Housel - Summary and Analysis | Audible.com](#)
50. [Book Summary: The Psychology of Money by Morgan Housel | by Siobhan Curran | Medium](#)
51. [Years After Losing His \\$400,000,000 Fortune, Mike Tyson Reveals What Bankruptcy Taught Him - EssentiallySports](#)
52. [How does Mike Tyson lose \\$60 million, and go bankrupt? - Quora](#)
53. [How Does a Man worth \\$400 million Find Himself Bankrupt? | Davis Law Firm](#)
54. [From Knockout King to Bankrupt: The Financial Fall of Mike Tyson - Knowledge You Need](#)
55. [Bankrupt: Mike Tyson and 5 Boxers from the Past 20 Years Who Ended Up Broke](#)

56. [Is an All Cash Emergency Fund Strategy Appropriate for All Investors? | Financial Planning Association](#)
57. [Interagency Policy Statement on Funding and Liquidity Risk Management](#)
58. [5 Safety Nets When Your Emergency Fund Runs Dry](#)
59. [Liquid Assets Guide for Emergency Fund and Financial Security](#)
60. [Personal financial management system for creation of a client portfolio of investment and credit facilities where funds are distributed based on a preferred allocation](#)
61. [Liquidity and Funds Management | FDIC.gov](#)
62. [How to Invest Your Emergency Fund for Liquidity](#)
63. [System for managing financial accounts by reallocating funds among accounts](#)
64. [System for prioritized operation of a personal financial account comprising liabilities and investment assets](#)
65. [System for managing financial accounts by a priority allocation of funds among accounts](#)
66. [Mike Tyson's Fortune: How He Lost \\$400 Million](#)
67. [How Mike Tyson Earned \\$400M, Filed For Bankruptcy, And Recovered — 'I Didn't Think I'd Make It Through My 30s' - AfroTech | AfroTech](#)
68. [How Mike Tyson blew all his money in 15 years](#)
69. [What Is Mike Tyson's Net Worth After Jake Paul Fight? - Newsweek](#)
70. [Secrets Of The Janitor Who Became A Millionaire | The Finance Teacher](#)
71. [Ronald Read \(philanthropist\) - Wikipedia](#)
72. [The Psychology of Money by Morgan Housel | Book Summary | Elearnmarkets](#)
73. [The Psychology of Money - Financial Pipeline](#)
74. [Millionaire Janitor: How Ronald Read Built \\$8 Million Fortune](#)
75. [A janitor secretly amassed an \\$8 million fortune and left most of it to his library and hospital](#)
76. [The Story Of Ronald Read | History - Vocal Media](#)
77. [A janitor in Vermont built an \\$8M fortune without anyone around him knowing. These are the 3 simple techniques that made Ronald Read rich — and can do the same for you too](#)
78. [Samuel Bankman-Fried's Net Worth 2026: The Anatomy of a \\$26 Billion Collapse](#)

79. [Sam Bankman-Fried Net Worth 2025: From \\$26 Billion to \\$0](#)
80. [Sam Bankman-Fried - Wikipedia](#)
81. [Sam Bankman-Fried](#)
82. [Sam Bankman-Fried: Early Life and Net Worth — The Vision Behind FTX and Crypto Market Evolution — 36Crypto | by 36Crypto | Coinmonks | Medium](#)
83. [Sam Bankman-Fried Net Worth: Biography, Career, Family & Lifestyle Insights](#)
84. [Sam Bankman-Fried Net Worth | Celebrity Net Worth](#)
85. [Sam Bankman-Fried Net Worth, Crypto and NFT Investments](#)
86. [Sam Bankman-Fried net worth 2026 - Online Casinos](#)
87. [The story behind FTX's Sam Bankman-Fried | Blue Mountain Eagle](#)
88. [Psychology of Money \[BooksLD\]](#)
89. [The Psychology of Money: Timeless Lessons on Wealth, Greed, and Happiness by Morgan Housel | Goodreads](#)
90. [The Psychology of Money by Morgan Housel \(2\)](#)
91. [Mastering The Psychology of Money: Insights from Morgan Housel's Book | by Rode & Ankor | Medium](#)
92. [Money Psychology of The](#)
93. [Sairam](#)
94. [The Psychology Of Money By Morgan Housel - Summary - MuthusBlog](#)
95. [The Psychology of Money: Timeless lessons on wealth, greed, and happiness: Housel, Morgan: 9780857197689: Amazon.com: Books](#)
96. [The Psychology of Money](#)
97. [The Psychology of Money: Timeless Lessons on Wealth, ...](#)
98. [the psychology of money. Have you heard the story about Ronald... | by yuinm | Medium](#)
99. [Mike Tyson: Where all of my money went | Graham Bensinger](#)
100. [Mike Tyson EXPOSES the Truth: It's NOT About Money](#)
101. [it's not about the MONEY 💰 #miketyson #shorts #boxing](#)
102. [Video Engagement Analytics: Measure What Viewers Watch](#)
103. [How Video Length Affects Viewer Retention](#)

104. [Optimizing Video Length for Audience Retention: Key Strategies for Maximizing Viewer Engagement – Page One Formula](#)
105. [What Your Video Engagement Graph Is Telling You – Wistia Blog](#)
106. [YouTube Retention Graphs Explained: Boost Your Video Performance – OpusClip Blog](#)
107. [The Impact of Video Length on Engagement](#)
108. [YouTube Audience Retention Benchmarks 2026: What's Good?](#)
109. [Why Viewers Drop After 30 Seconds on YouTube | Narration Box](#)
110. [Optimal Video Length: 4 Proven Tips to Maximize Engagement | Cloudinary](#)
111. [What's The Ideal Video Length For Social Media? — PEAKBOUND STUDIO](#)
112. [Uncover Mayer's 12 Principles of Multimedia Learning Today! | Vamos Academy](#)
113. [Mayer's 12 Principles: Optimizing Multimedia Learning](#)
114. [Mayer's 12 Principles of Multimedia Learning | DLI](#)
115. [How to Use Mayer's 12 Principles of Multimedia Learning \[Examples Included\] | by Andrew DeBell | Medium](#)
116. [How to Use Mayer's 12 Principles of Multimedia Learning \[Examples Included\]](#)
117. [Mayer's Principles of Multimedia Learning – Educational Technology](#)
118. [Mayer's 12 Design Principles: What They Are & How to Apply Them to eLearning | eduMe](#)
119. [Multimedia Learning – an overview | ScienceDirect Topics](#)
120. [How to Use Mayer's 12 Principles of Multimedia Learning \[Examples Included\] - Water Bear Learning](#)
121. [Frontiers | Exploring the interplay of information relevance and colorfulness in multimedia learning](#)
122. [Watch Out For Those Seductive Details](#)
123. [Seductive details hamper learning even when they do not disrupt – PMC](#)
124. [Impact of decorative pictures in learning materials: The effect of attention-grabbing features – Morita – 2023 – Applied Cognitive Psychology – Wiley Online Library](#)
125. [\(PDF\) The role of decorative pictures in learning](#)
126. [Seductive details – Wikipedia](#)
127. [Representational and decorative pictures in science and mathematics tests: Do they make a difference? – ScienceDirect](#)

128. Seductive Details in the Flipped Classroom: The Impact of Interesting but Educationally Irrelevant Information on Student Learning and Motivation – PMC
129. The role of decorative pictures in learning | Instructional Science | Springer Nature Link
130. Task-irrelevant decorative pictures increase cognitive load during text processing but have no effects on learning or working memory performance: an EEG and eye-tracking study

Research method inspired by Stanford's open-source STORM project.
